

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/643,551	08/22/2000	Richard C. Robertshaw	567P	9686			
7	590 07/02/2003						
	omas M Freiburger Examiner						
650 California 25th Floor	Street		VU, STE	STEPHEN A			
San Francisco,	CA 94108		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			3636	THE ENCHOLISE			
		DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





Interview Summary

Application No. 09/643,551

Examiner

Applicant(s)

Art Unit 3636

Robertshaw

Stephen Vu 36

		1	T I	111	1	I E I	III.	THE		ш
	Ш	Н	1	Ш	Н			Ш	ш	Ш
i	ı	Н	Ш		Ш			H	и	Ш
İ	ľ	П	n	1111	Н	Ш			11	111
ı	ľ	Н	и		ш	101		ш	**	ш
į	Ш	Н	ш		ш	H				Ш

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) <u>Stephen Vu</u> (3)
(2) Thomas Freiburger (#27,063) (4)
Date of Interview Jun 30, 2003
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 5 and 9 Identification of prior art discussed: Berg'323
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: It was discussed that claims 5 & 9 appear to have distinguishing features, not found in the prior art of Berg'323. The applicant will submit a response based on this interview and Office action, dated May 27, 2003.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked)
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Stephe M

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required